

Index

- abstract, 73–74
 - executive summary, 65
 - government proposal component, 63
 - research proposal component, 69
- accessibility, required of public funding sources, 28
- accomplishments of applicant, 97, 102, 105–106, 125
- accounting system, 128
- acknowledgement
 - corporate funding, 38–39
 - initial contact, 45
 - public funding sources, 28, 36
- administration of project, 97, 99–102, 105–106
- administrative review, 151
- affirmative action, 126
- agency assessment, ix, 7–8
- agreement, letter of, 154
- allowable costs, 133
- American Society of Association Executives Directory, 53
- animal subjects, regulations, 147
- annual reports, 43–44
- applicant history, 125. *See also* reputation of applicant
- applicant resources, 16
- approach to project
 - private proposal component, 65
 - procedures section, 97–98
 - review criteria, 158
- appropriateness of project, 157
- approval categories, 152
- arts funding, 116. *See also* nonresearch proposals
- assessment. *See* agency assessment; *specific assessment topics*
- Association of Small Foundations, 52
- attachments, 74–77. *See also under* proposals
 - budget and finance, 145
 - government proposal component, 64
 - private proposal component, 66
 - research proposal component, 70
- audited financial statement, 145
- award. *See* grant
- behavioral objectives, 82
- benchmark statement, 83
- benefits, 137
- bidder's conference. *See* technical conference
- binding, 73
- board of directors, 75–76, 125
- budget, 131–146
 - arrangement of items, 140–143
 - changes, grantor requirements, 133
 - development process, 132–143
 - government proposal component, 64
 - padded, 148
 - private proposal component, 66
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - research proposal component, 70
 - staffing, 126
 - worksheets, 134–136
- budget narrative, 143–145
- Business.com, 53
- capabilities assessment, 7–14, 157
- capacity-building grant, 32
- capital award, 4, 32
- Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, 50
- categories, budget organization, 140
- challenge grant, 4, 32
- change statement, 83
- charitable trust listings, 52
- Charity Channel, 54
- Chronicle of Philanthropy, 54
- clarity of proposal, 157
- clearinghouse agencies, 22
- Clegg, Judith, 83, 113
- client population
 - focus of proposal, 148
 - project stakeholders, 21
 - shared constituency, 25
- collaborative relationships
- capabilities assessment checklist, 10
- corporate-nonprofit, 39
- needs assessment, 16, 17
- needs documentation, 21
- qualifications of applicant, 125
- response assessment checklist, 12
- common ground, 24–25
- communications, 137

- community foundations, 34–35
- Community Foundations by State, 52
- Community of Science (COS), 50
- competition
 - among proposals, 18
 - capabilities assessment checklist, 9–10
 - fairness, 8
 - increasing, ix
 - needs statement, 90
- compliance, proof of, 63, 74–75
- constituency, shared, 25
- contents, table of, 69
- contingencies, 133, 153
- continuity of project, 155–156
- contract, 5–6
- contract services, 137–138
- contributions in-kind. *See* in-kind contributions
- corporate funding, 36–39
 - assessment checklist, 40
 - changes in, ix
 - identifying funding sources, 52–53, 55
 - proposal components, 61, 65–66
 - sample letter of inquiry, 48
- Corporate Information, 53
- COS (Community of Science), 50
- cost-plus-fixed-fee contract, 6, 132
- cost-reimbursement contract, 132
- cost-sharing contract, 132
- costs, identifying, 132–140
- Council on Foundations, 34, 52
- cover letter, 149–150
- cover page, 69
- cover sheet, 73, 78–80
- CPM (Critical Path Method), 99
- CRFNet, 54
- criteria, 152, 157–158. *See also* proposal review
- Critical Path Method (CPM), 99
- CSC Non-Profit Resource Center, 49, 53
- CSC Non-Profit Resource Center Foundations List, 52
- culture, shared, 25
- customer feedback survey, 114

- damage control, 25
- data collection and analysis
 - evaluation plan, 111–117
 - needs documentation, 20–21
 - permissions, 115
 - procedures section, 105–108
- David Lamb's Prospect Research Page, 49
- Deacon, Goodwin, viii
- deadline for submission, 148–149
- decision making, applicant, 10
- decision making, grantor
 - corporate funding, 38–39
 - independent foundations, 35
 - public funding sources, 28
- deficits, 144
- deliverables, 97, 102
- demonstration grant, 32
- design of project, 104–105

- direct costs, 135–139
- Directory of Associations, 53
- Directory of Service Clubs, 53
- Directory of Unions, 53
- disapproval, 154
- dissemination of results, 97, 102–103. *See also* reporting requirements
- Duke University, 68
- duplication of effort, 16, 21

- earned income, 143
- EDGAR Database, 53
- EEO (Equal Employment Opportunity) statistics, 126
- eligibility requirements, 9, 12
- employee giving programs. *See* corporate funding
- endorsement, letter of, 76
- endowment grant, 4, 32
- environmental impact, 158
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 70
- Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) statistics, 126
- equipment, 129, 137
- estimates, vendor, 76
- evaluation plan, 109–123
 - checklist, 119–122
 - designing, 111
 - government proposal component, 63
 - Internet resource listing, 109
 - model, 113
 - private proposal component, 65
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - qualifications of applicant, 128
 - resources, 123
- evaluators, 21
- executive summary, 65, 73–74
- experience of staff, 127–128
- external stakeholders, 21–22. *See also* proposal review
- externally funded project. *See* sponsored project

- facilities, 129, 137
- faith communities, 41
- family foundations, 34–35
- FCSearch, 51
- feasibility assessment, 12
- feasibility of procedures, 96
- Federal Gateway, 50
- federal government funding, 27–29
- Federal Grant Administration and Payment System, 153
- Federal Register*, 50, 53
- fees, overhead, 139–140
- fellowships, 32
- financial statements, 76, 145
- FirstGov, 50
- fiscal agent, 8, 21
- fiscal negotiations, 154
- fiscal review, 151
- fiscal sponsor, 11, 32
- 501(c)(3) IRS status
 - capabilities assessment checklist, 9
 - fiscal agent and, 8
 - government proposal component, 64

- private proposal component, 66
- proof of, 75
- recipient requirement, 32
- research proposal component, 70
- response assessment checklist, 12
- fixed-price contract, 6, 132
- flexibility of applicant, 12–13
- focus of proposal, 148
- format, budgeting, 140
- format of proposal, 103–104
- formative evaluation, 110
- The Foundation Center
 - foundation selection, 34
 - identifying funding sources, 51
 - RFP information, 4
 - sample RFPs, 14
- The Foundation Center Collection, viii
- The Foundation Center Printed Directories, 51
- foundations
 - assessment checklist, 37
 - award types, 31–34
 - characteristics, 30–31
 - funding trends, 33–36
 - grantor characteristics, 34–36
 - identifying funding sources, 51–52, 55
 - private funding sources, 28–31
 - proposal components, 61, 65–66
 - recent developments, 31
 - sample letter of inquiry, 47
- Foundations On-line, 52
- fraternal organizations, 41
- funders. *See* funding sources; grantors
- funding sources, 24–55
 - assessing, 43–45
 - common ground, 24–25
 - ground rules, 133
 - identifying, 42–43
 - listings, 49–55
 - making contact, 45–48
 - public vs. private, 26–31
- funding trends, ix, 33–36
- fundraising, 144
- Fundsnet, 50, 53
- FUNDSNET Services, 50
- Gantt chart, 99–100
- gateway Web site. *See* Web sites
- general purpose grant. *See* operating grant
- general support grant, 4, 32
- gifts in-kind. *See* in-kind contributions
- giving circle, 31, 35, 125
- giving programs, 34. *See also* corporate funding
- giving trends. *See* funding trends
- goal statement, 81–82, 86–87
- governance model. *See* governing structure
- governing structure
 - of applicant, 9
 - project stakeholders, 21
 - qualifications of applicant, 125
- government funding sources. *See also* public funding
 - cover letter, 149–150
 - identifying funding sources, 50–51, 55
 - notification, 153
 - proposal components, 61, 63–64
- grantors, ix, 22. *See also* funding sources
- grants, 4–6
- GrantSelect, 50
- The Grantsmanship Center, 34, 53
- GrantSmart, 52
- GrantStation, 50
- grantwriters, beginning, 11. *See also* applicant
- grantwriting resources, 118
- gross domestic product, ix
- ground rules, budgeting, 132–133
- guidelines of grantor, 43
- GuideStar, 52
- heritage organizations, 41
- Hoover's Online, 53
- how much to request?, 145–146
- human resources. *See* staffing
- human subjects, regulations, 147
- hypothesis statement, 86–87
- IACUC (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee), 147
- idea development, 15–23
- image, shared, 25
- impact evaluation, 110, 157–158
- implementation deadline, 13
- in-kind contributions
 - budgeting, 140–143
 - corporate funding, 38
 - grantor requirement, 133
- income, 143
- independent foundations, 34–35
- indirect costs, 135, 139–140
- individual funding sources, 143
- industry publications, 44
- infrastructure
 - capabilities assessment checklist, 10
 - needs assessment, 17
 - qualifications of applicant, 128–130
 - response assessment checklist, 13
- innovation, 158
- inquiry, letter of, 43–48, 67
- inside track, 13
- Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), 147
- Institutional Review Board (IRB), 147
- instrumentation, 105–106
- intellectual merit, 157
- interest income, 143
- internal commitment, 60
- internal logic of proposal, 61, 148
- Internal Revenue Service. *See* IRS
- internal review, 147–149. *See also* proposal review; stakeholders
- internal stakeholders, 21
- Internet Public Library, 49, 53

- Internet resources, ix–x, 68–70
- internships, 32
- interview, 151
- introduction, 96–98, 104–105. *See also* abstract; executive summary
- investigator, 158
- IRB (Institutional Review Board), 147
- IRS (Internal Revenue Service). *See also* 170(c) IRS status; 501(c)(3) IRS status
- IRS Form 990
 - identifying funding sources, 52
 - proposal attachment, 76
 - staffing, 126
- IRS Form 990-PF
 - funding source assessment, 44
 - identifying funding sources, 51, 52
- King County Library System, Nonprofit and Philanthropy Resource Center, 49
- Kraicer, Jacob, 151
- labor unions, 39–41
- leadership, 125–126
- legal negotiations, 154
- legal review, 151
- length of proposal, 103–104
- letter of agreement, 154
- letter of endorsement, 76
- letter of inquiry, 43–48, 67
- letter of support, 76
- Leukefeld, Carl, 152
- liquidity, 144
- listservs, 54
- loan guarantee, 32
- local government funding, 27–28
- management framework, 59
- market, shared, 25
- marketing, 38
- matching funds, 16, 133
- matching grant, 32
- matching services, 16
- materials, 137
- measurement. *See* evaluation plan
- Medical Research Council (MRC), 50
- memorandum of understanding, 86, 154
- methodology
 - evaluation plan, 113
 - procedures section, 104–105
 - review criteria, 157
- Michigan State University, 68
- military organizations, 41
- mission compatibility, 7, 9, 12, 25
- MRC (Medical Research Council), 50
- National Council of University Research Administrators, 68
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, 68
- National Institutes of Health (NIH), 50
- National Network of Grantmakers, 61
- National Science Foundation (NSF), 50, 70
- needs assessment, 15–18
- needs documentation, 20–21
- needs statement
 - case studies, 91–93
 - checklist, 91
 - government proposal component, 63
 - private proposal component, 65
 - procedures and, 95
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - research proposal component, 69
 - writing, 88–93
- negotiation, contract, 153–154
- newsletters, 54
- NGA (Notice of Grant Award), 153–154
- NIH (National Institutes of Health), 50
- Non-Profit and Philanthropy Resource Center, viii
- nonprofit organizations, ix, 7–8
- nonprofit status, 75. *See also* 501(c)(3) IRS status
- nonresearch proposals, 78, 96–104
- Notice of Grant Award (NGA), 153–154
- Notices of Funding Availability, 50
- notification, 153
- NSF (National Science Foundation), 50, 70
- objectives statement
 - procedures and, 95
 - purpose statement, 81–82
 - research proposal component, 86–87
- on-site visit, 151
- 170(c) IRS status, 32
- operating budget, 143–145
- operating foundations, 35
- operating grant, 4, 32
- organizational chart, 76
- outcomes
 - evaluation of, ix, 110
 - outcome statement, 81–84
 - procedures and, 94–95
 - proposal requirements, ix
- outputs vs. outcomes, 84
- overhead, 139–140
- participants, 97–98
- Payee's Guide, 153
- peer review, reviewers. *See* proposal review *per diem*, 137
- performance objective, 82
- performance targets, 111
- permissions and data collection, 115
- personal contacts, 44–45
- personnel, 126–128, 135–136. *See also* staffing
- PERT chart, 99, 101
- pilot grant, 32
- political environment, 13
- population, subject, 104–105
- post-award performance conference, 154
- post-program measures, 114
- pre-application. *See* letter of inquiry
- pre-program measures, 114
- presentation of proposal, 148

- prevention program, 116
- priorities, 28, 38–39
- priority of need, 16
- Privat, Jeannette, viii
- private funding sources, 28–41
 - budgeting revenue, 143
 - cover letter, 150
 - funding trends, ix
 - notification, 153
- problem statement. *See* needs statement
- procedure vs. purpose, 85
- procedures section, 94–108
 - common problems, 94–96
 - government proposal component, 63
 - nonresearch proposal component, 96–104
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - research proposal component, 104–108
- process objective, 82
- product objective, 82
- professional associations, 39
- profiling the project, 3–6
- program announcements, 5
- “program” compared with “project,” 4
- program grant, 4, 32
- program logic model, 84–85, 111–112
- program manager, 50
- program officers
 - decision making, 35
 - project stakeholders, 22
 - public funding sources, 28
- program-related expenses, 137
- program-related investment grant, 32
- project approach, 18–20
- “project” compared with “program,” 4
- project grant, 4, 32
- proof of compliance, 74–75
- proposal review, by grantor
 - agency assessment, ix
 - criteria, 152, 157–158
 - Internet resource listing, 150–153
 - peer review, 28
 - reviewers, 25
- proposal review, internal. *See also* stakeholders; *specific assessment topics*
 - final, 147–149
 - self-assessment, 7–8
- proposal writing, 60–71, 148–149
- proposals. *See also* needs statement; purpose statement
 - appearance, 148
 - attachments, 74–77
 - characteristics, 59–60
 - components, 61, 63–66
 - content worksheet, 62
 - development process, x–xi, 61–64, 67
 - public vs. private grantors, 61
 - seven deadly sins, 148–149
- PRSPCT-L, 54
- public funding. *See also* government funding sources
 - assessment checklist, 29
 - budgeting revenue, 143
 - changes in, ix
 - foundations, 34–35
 - government sources, 27–28
- public relations, 38
- Puget Sound Grantwriters Association, viii
- purpose statement
 - evaluation plan, 116
 - government proposal component, 63
 - nonresearch proposal component, 81–84
 - private proposal component, 65
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - research proposal component, 69, 86–87
 - writing, 84–86
- purpose vs. procedure, 85
- qualifications section, 124–130
 - private proposal component, 65
 - proposal content worksheet, 62
 - research proposal component, 69
- query letter. *See* letter of inquiry
- rationale of procedures, 95
- readers. *See* proposal review
- recipients, 16, 32–34
- Regional Associations of Grantmakers, 34, 52
- Reisman, Jane, 83, 113
- relevance of project, 15
- renewal of grant, 155
- replication of results, 97, 102
- reporting requirements. *See also* dissemination
 - evaluation plan, 111, 115, 117
 - initial contact, 45
 - public funding sources, 28
 - research proposal component, 69
- reputation of applicant
 - capabilities assessment checklist, 9–10
 - decision making, 36
 - qualifications of applicant, 125
- request for proposals. *See* RFP
- requestor. *See* applicant
- research plan, 69
- research project
 - funding sources, 28
 - Internet resource listing, 68–70
 - needs assessment, 17–18
- research proposals
 - attachments, 76–77
 - cover sheet, 79–80
 - procedures section, 104–108
 - purpose statement, 86–87
- research questions, 86–87
- reserves, financial, 144
- resources, 13, 115–116
- response assessment, 8, 11–13
- resubmission, 154–155
- Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax. *See* IRS Form 990
- Return of Private Foundation. *See* IRS Form 990-PF
- revenue, 143
- revenue streams, 144

- review, reviewers. *See* proposal review
- RFP (request for proposals), 4, 8, 11, 14
- Ries, Joanne, 152
- risk management staff, 21
- salaries, 135–136
- Sanders, Wendy, 150
- SBIR (Small Business Innovation Research), 50
- scholarships, 32
- scope of work, 8, 11
- screening, 151. *See also* proposal review
- Securities and Exchange Commission, 53
- seed grant, 4, 32
- service organizations, 39, 41
- seven deadly sins of proposal writing, 148–149
- shared-cost contract, 6
- significance of project, 157, 158
- Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR), 50
- social investment club, 31
- Social Science Research Council, 70
- social value of project, x
- Society of Research Administrators Grants Web, 49
- sole-source offering, 13
- solicited project idea, 4–5
- solubility of problem, 17, 91
- sources, budget organization, 140
- special interest groups, 41
- sponsored project, 6
- staffing
 - capabilities assessment checklist, 10
 - evaluation plan, 111, 117
 - government proposal component, 63
 - private proposal component, 65
 - procedures section, 105–106
 - proposal development process, 67
 - reflected in operating budget, 144
 - research proposal component, 70
- stakeholders. *See also* dissemination; proposal review
 - funding decisions, 38
 - nonresearch proposals, 97
 - project support, 21–22
- standard proposals. *See* nonresearch proposals
- start-up award, 4, 32
- state government funding, 27–28
- statement of need. *See* needs statement
- straight-cost reimbursement contract, 6
- strategic plan, 9, 16
- submission, 149–150
- summative evaluation, 110
- supplies, 137
- support, letter of, 76
- sustainability of project
 - government proposal component, 63
 - planning for, 155–156
 - private proposal component, 66
 - reflected in operating budget, 144
- synopsis. *See* abstract
- table of contents, 69
- target statement, 83
- team writing, 64–68
- technical assistance grant, 32
- technical conference, 12
- 10KWizard, 53
- thank you note, 45
- timeline
 - budget arrangement, 140
 - evaluation plan, 111
 - of expenditures, 133
 - procedures section, 98–99, 101, 105–106
 - proposal development process, 61–64, 67
- timeliness of need, 17
- title page
 - choosing title, 72–73
 - government proposal component, 63
 - private proposal component, 65
 - research proposal component, 69
- trade associations, 39–41
- training grant, 32
- travel, 137–138
- trustees. *See* board of directors
- tutorials
 - grantwriting preparation, x, 12
 - on research companies on-line, 53
 - research proposal information, 68
- unions. *See* labor unions
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), 50
- University of Washington, 49
- University of Washington Grants and Contracts Services, 50
- University of Wisconsin, Madison, 68
- unsolicited project idea, 4–5
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), 50
- vendor estimates, 76
- venture philanthropist, 31
- visibility, 25
- Web sites, 44, 49–50
- Wholey, Joseph, 84
- work plan, 97–99, 105–106
- World Wide Web. *See under* Internet